



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/036,155	12/26/2001	Mark Lelental	83302D-W	3978
75	90 06/06/2003			
Paul A. Leipold			EXAMINER	
Patent Legal Staff			SCHILLING, RICHARD L	
Eastman Kodak			Scinzento,	idem nas E
343 State Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rochester, NY 14650-2201				
			1752	
		DATE MAILED: 06/06/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## united states department of commerce United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

in

## DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS **ADVISORY ACTION** THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)] The period for reply expires  $\underline{3}$  months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 707.07(f)), the period for in view or the early submission of the proposed reply (whithin two months as set toffin in PEEF § 701.707), the period to reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_ 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees. 3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter. (see NOTE below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d)  $\square$  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Gelatin imaging layers disclosed in specification

are limited to silver halide emulsions taising a new issue

under the 1st para of 35 usc 112. Inkjet, ablation etc. gelatin layers not 4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): would be allowable if submitted in a 5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 6. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): RICHARD L. SCHILLING Claim(s) allowed: PRIMARY EXAMINER Claim(s) objected to: GROUP 1100 Claim(s) rejected: \_ Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 9. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ a) ☐ has b) ☐ has not been approved by the Examiner.

11. I Other. Amendment to claim 8, is entered, would overcome 112 rejection.
Also, unexpected results for gelatin anti-static binders not shown. Claims
not limited to imaging layers coated directly on antistatic layers.

10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).